

Greater Manchester Waste DPD

Note 2

a) Para 2.2 of the DPD indicates the Headline Waste Capacity Requirements and states that a total of 5.2mt of energy recovery capacity will be required between 2012 and 2027. What is the basis for suggesting that this would be accommodated at up to 3 larger facilities or a maximum of 5 smaller energy recovery facilities? Why those particular numbers?

b) Para 3.9 of the DPD indicates that the purpose of Policy 4 is to make provision for the waste management facilities to meet the capacity identified in the Plan. How do the facilities requirements, as suggested in a) above, fit with the Policy 4 Site Allocations? For example, if 3 larger facilities were to come forward, which of the 7 Sites in Policy 4 would be acceptable.

c) Is it possible to give indications of the typical throughput (tonnes per annum) of the facilities which could be provided in the various Facility Categories (A – I) in Table 8.

d) Is the first sentence of para 2.35 correct? Should it read C&I Waste: Energy Recovery?

e) Para 2.38 states that the major component of non hazardous waste for disposal is C&I waste. Does this also include an element of MSW? Why is it not possible to divert yet more waste from landfill into the various treatment facilities, thereby reducing further the demand for additional disposal capacity? This might even constitute Scenario 4. Was such a Scenario considered and rejected in the very early stages of the DPD preparation?

f) The DPD does not make any allocations for the disposal of inert residual waste (Construction and Demolition). Do the existing landfill sites have waste acceptance criteria which would exclude recyclable C&D waste? Alternatively, are disincentives, such as Landfill Tax, sufficient to keep C&D waste from taking up capacity in non hazardous landfill allocations?

Andy Mead
Inspector

30 March 2011